Н			
1	Steve Salvador Ybarra		
2	Pro Se Litigant		RECEIVED
3	Minnesota   California		
4	Theory Werkx.com		MAY 1 4 2025
5	Tel: 612.544.4380		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA
6	Steve@TheoryWerkx.com		
7			
8			
9	UNITE	ED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
0	FOR TI	HE DISTR	RICT OF MINNESOTA
1			
2	Steve Salvador Ybarra		No 0:25-cv-01948-KMM-DJF
3	Self-Represented Pro Se Litigant,		
4	Plaintiff,		PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR
5	v.		DISREGARD GUARDIAN AD LITEM REPORT DUE TO FRAUDULENT
6	Legal Assistance of Dakota County; Sharon Jones Esq., in her Individual and Official capacities; Hon. David Lutz, in his individual and Official capacities; Hon. Tanya Obrien, in her individual and official capacities; Hon. Dannia L Edwards, in her individual and official capacities;		FOUNDATION AND EVIDENTIARY MISCONDUCT
7			
8			
9			
0.			
1	Lydia Clemens, in her individual a official capacities;	nd	
22	Michelle Cathleen Ybarra,		
.3	Defendants.		
4			
25	TO THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE DULCE FOSTER:		
6	Plaintiff Steve Salvador Ybarra, proceeding pro se, respectfully moves this Court to strike		
7	or disregard the Guardian ad Litem report authored by Defendant Lydia Clemens on or about		
8	October 11, 2024, and subsequently	relied upo	on by state court Judge David Lutz to impose
			1

SCANNED

MAY 1 4 2025

U.S. DISTRICT COURT MPLS

3

8

11 12

10

13 14

1516

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

supervised parenting time. The report is fatally compromised, both factually and procedurally, and its continued use violates the Constitution and controlling Supreme Court precedent.

## I. BASIS FOR MOTION

This motion is supported by incontrovertible documentary evidence, attached hereto, establishing:

- The Guardian ad Litem report is founded on a CPS parenting recommendation that has been formally rescinded by Dakota County Social Services as of October 30, 2024 (Exhibits A and B).
- 2. The GAL report was authored by Defendant Lydia Clemens in coordination with opposing counsel Sharon Jones, as evidenced by digital signature artifacts and shared communications (Exhibit C).
- The GAL failed to respond to over 50 documented abuse reports and exhibits submitted by Plaintiff, while instead relying on hearsay, unsupported characterizations, and undisclosed external sources.
- 4. The supervising judge, Defendant David Lutz, **mischaracterized the CPS withdrawal** on the record during a May 12, 2025 hearing in a manner that preserved the fraudulent basis of the custody order rather than correcting it (Exhibit D).

## II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Federal courts are empowered to strike or disregard evidentiary material that is:

- Irreparably compromised by fraud (Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322
   U.S. 238 (1944));
- Built on revoked, misleading, or procedurally disqualified content (*Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397
   U.S. 254 (1970));
- Authored in a manner that destroys neutrality (Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., 556
   U.S. 868 (2009));
- Relied upon by judges or officials with known conflicts or failures to disclose (*Liljeberg v.*

1

2

Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847 (1988)).

3

with a state official under color of law is equally liable for constitutional violations. Defendant Clemens is not shielded by immunity while functioning as a quasi-investigative agent aiding

Further, under Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24 (1980), a private party acting in collusion

5

6

systemic rights suppression.

## III. PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS

8

7

The GAL report has already produced:

9

• Enforced supervision of a fit parent based on rescinded CPS claims;

10

Judicial reliance on biased material drafted under private coordination;

11

• Disregard of Plaintiff's submitted evidence, reports, and abuse disclosures.

1213

mandates procedural protections before deprivation of liberty interests—including the right to

This constitutes a textbook violation of *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319 (1976), which

14

parent.

15

## IV. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

17

16

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

18 19 1. **Strike or formally disregard** the GAL report submitted by Lydia Clemens from any consideration in the adjudication of Plaintiff's claims;

20

2. Issue an order prohibiting further reliance on said report by any party in these proceedings;

21

22

 Direct Defendant Clemens to preserve and produce all communications relating to the creation, editing, or approval of the report, particularly those involving Defendant Sharon Jones;

2324

4. Grant such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

26

25

27

28 Respectfully submitted,

1	/s/ Steve Salvador Ybarra
2	Steve Salvador Ybarra
3	Pro Se Litigant
4	California   Minnesota
5	Email: Steve@TheoryWerkx.com
6	Phone: (612) 544-4380
7	
8	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
9 10 11	I hereby certify that on May 13, 2025, I served a true and correct copy of the attached: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR DISREGARD GUARDIAN AD LITEM REPORT DUE TO FRAUDULENT FOUNDATION AND EVIDENTIARY MISCONDUCT
3	upon the following parties by email and/or U.S. Mail:
4	Legal Assistance of Dakota County
5	Email: admin@dakotalegal.org
6	• Sharon Jones, Esq., in her individual and official capacities
7	Legal Assistance of Dakota County
8	Email: sjones@dakotalegal.org
9	Hon. David Lutz, in his individual and official capacities
20	Dakota County District Court
21	1560 Highway 55, Hastings, MN 55033
22	Email: Raymond.mestad@courts.state.mn.us
23	Hon. Tanya O'Brien, in her individual and official capacities
24	Dakota County District Court
25	1560 Highway 55, Hastings, MN 55033
26	Email:
27	Hon. Dannia L. Edwards, in her individual and official capacities
28	Dakota County District Court
- 1	I

1	1560 Highway 55, Hastings, MN 55033
2	Email:
3	Lydia Clemens, Guardian ad Litem, in her individual and official capacities
4	First Judicial District GAL Program
5	Email: Lydia.clemens@courts.state.mn.us
6	Michelle Cathleen Ybarra, Respondent
7	Email: shellbel1@hotmail.com
8	This notice was served to all named parties via email where available and U.S. Mail where
9	necessary, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b) and Local Rule 7.1.
10	Respectfully submitted,
11	/s/ Steve Salvador Ybarra
12	Pro Se Litigant
13	Steve@TheoryWerkx.com
14	(612) 544-4380
15	Executed May 12, 2025
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	·
27	
28	5